



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19.02.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.19661 of 2025

M.Ganesan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Thirumangalam Taluk Police Station,
Madurai District
(Crime No.185 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.M.K.Suresh

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.185 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 318(4), 322, 336(3) and 339



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2025

of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.185 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 07.08.2025, one Navarathinam had given the complaint alleging that properties in S.No.144/3, 144/4, 144/5, 144/6, 144/11 and 153/10 Thirali Village Thirumangalam Taluk belonged to her father Nagaiyasamy and he had effected partition and H schedule was allotted to Navathinam (De-facto Complainant) and her sister Dhanapackiyam (A1). The properties belonged to both Navaratnam and Dhanapackiyam. Her sister Dhanapackiyam without the consent of Navarathinam had got patta in her name by forged documents and converted into plots and executed sale deed in Doc. No. 4026/2011 in favour of her Assistant Ganesan and the said Ganesan in the next year re-conveyed the said properties to her sister Dhanapackiyam in Doc. No. 4777/2012 and it is now with her sister Dhanapackiyam. The said Dhanapackiyam had executed sale deed Doc.No.4722/2011 in favour of her son Prasanth (A2) and thereby Dhanpackiyam (A1) cheated the de-facto complainant. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2025

petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner has not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioner are serious in nature.

5.It is seen that this Court has already granted anticipatory bail to accused persons namely, R. Dhanabagiam and Prashanth / A1 and A2 in CRL OP(MD)No.19640 of 2025, vide order, dated 19.02.2026.

6.Considering the fact that the other accused were granted anticipatory bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2025

Thirumangalam, Madurai District, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required.

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

19.02.2026

TMG



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2025

WEB COPY

1. The Learned Judicial Magistrate,
Thirumangalam
Madurai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thirumangalam Taluk Police Station,
Madurai District
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19661 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19661 of 2025

Date : 19.02.2026