

CRL OP(MD). No.20176 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD)No.20176 of 2025

Suresh @ Singapore Suresh

... Petitioner / Accused No.6

Versus

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Aundipatti Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.403 of 2024)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :-Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.403 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s.P.Sivaranjini

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan,
Additional Public Prosecutor



CRL OP(MD). No.20176 of 2025


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.10.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(C), 25 and 29(1) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, in Crime No.403 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 27.09.2024 at about 17:15 hours, the respondent police, based upon secret information, proceeded to the spot along with a police party and necessary equipment, and found the accused persons in possession of 30 kilograms of ganja. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that no contraband was recovered from the petitioner and that he has been implicated only on the basis of the confession of the co-accused. He would also submit that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on



CRL OP(MD). No.20176 of 2025

18.10.2024. Hence, he seeks grant of bail for the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that there are totally 9 accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A6. He would further submit that there are 8 previous cases pending against the petitioner. He would also submit that the contraband involved in the case falls under the category of commercial quantity. He would also submit that there are certain bank transactions between the accused persons, including the petitioner. Therefore, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of offence, though according to the prosecution the contraband involved is a commercial quantity, the fact that there are no contraband was recovered from the petitioner and contraband was recovered from co-accused persons through separate mahazar and the fact there are no previous cases against the petitioner in similar nature



CRL OP(MD). No.20176 of 2025

and though the petitioner has involved in bank transactions, the same is a matter for trial and also considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner from 18.10.2024, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Principal Special Court for Trial of NDPS Act Cases, Madurai, and on further conditions that :-

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned Principal Special Court for Trial of NDPS Act Cases, Madurai daily at 10.30 a.m. and 05.00 p.m., on all working days, until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD). No.20176 of 2025

WEB COPY


[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***; and

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

17.04.2026

pal



CRL OP(MD). No.20176 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Principal Special Court for Trial of NDPS Act Cases,
Madurai.
- 2.The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Madurai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Aundipatti Police Station,
Theni District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.20176 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J.

pal

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20176 of 2025

Date : 17.04.2026