



CRP(MD). No.3921 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 05.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

CRP(MD). No.3921 of 2025

and

CMP(MD) No.20458 of 2025

K.Palanivel

... Petitioner

Vs

C.Ramasamy

... Respondent

PRAYER :-Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to call for the records in I.A.No. 2 of 2025 in O.S. No. 902 of 2021 order dated 07.10.2025, on the file of the Learned IV Additional Subordinate Judge, Tiruchirappalli and set aside the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Arunraj

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition has been filed challenging the order made in I.A.No.2 of 2025 in O.S.No.902 of 2021, dated 07.10.2025, on the file of the IV Additional Subordinate Court, Tiruchirappalli.

2.The petitioner/plaintiff has filed a suit in O.S.No.902 of 2021 for recovery of money as against the respondent/defendant, in which the



CRP(MD). No.3921 of 2025

respondent has filed a written statement on 26.09.2022. Pending suit, the respondent/defendant filed an interlocutory application in I.A.No.2 of 2025 to condone the delay in filing of some of the documents, which were not form part of the written statement under Order 8 Rule 1 (A) and Section 151 of CPC and the said application was allowed, vide order dated 07.10.2025. Challenging the same, the present Civil Revision Petition has been filed.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner reiterating all the contentions set out in the memorandum of grounds of Revision would submit that in the present case, the respondent did not file necessary documents within the prescribed time. Subsequently, after the lapse of prescribed period, the respondent filed an application to condone the delay in marking the documents, which is impermissible. Hence, he prays for appropriate orders.

4.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. Since no adverse order is going to be passed as against the respondent, notice to the respondent is dispensed with.



CRP(MD). No.3921 of 2025

WEB COPY

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court without interfering with the order passed by the trial Court, directs the trial Court to allow the respondent/defendant to mark the documents with the objections of the petitioner/plaintiff and permit the petitioner to defend the said documents. It is also made clear that the trial Court shall decide the relevancy and admissibility of the documents in question at the time of trial.

6.With the above direction, this Civil Revision Petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Speaking : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
mm

05.01.2026

Note :

Registry is directed to return the original documents, after getting necessary acknowledgment from the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3/5



CRP(MD). No.3921 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

The IV Additional Subordinate Judge, Tiruchirappalli.



WEB COPY



CRP(MD). No.3921 of 2025

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

mm

CRP(MD). No.3921 of 2025

05.01.2026