



CRL OP(MD). No.19271 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.19271 of 2025

Shiyas Basheer

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
NIB CID Wing, Kanyakumari
(Crime No. 4 of 2024)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Shaazim Shagar
For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 4 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / A2, who was arrested and remanded to judicial
custody on 08.10.2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c)r/w.

1/5



CRL OP(MD). No.19271 of 2025

20(b)(ii)(c) of NDPS Act in Crime No.4 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 105 kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police has registered a false case against the petitioner and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that no contraband was recovered from this petitioner and the contraband was recovered from A1 only..The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.10.2023. He would further submit that there is some procedural violations in this case. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 105kgs of ganja which is a commercial quantity. He would further submit that the petitioner is the driver in which



CRL OP(MD). No.19271 of 2025

the contraband was transported. He would further submit that similar kind of previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the fact that the contraband involved in this case is commercial quantity and this petitioner is also driver in the vehicle in which the contraband was transported and the petitioner is having some previous for similar kind of offences and the points raised by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in respect of violation of procedures can only be tested during trial, hence this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Hence the petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
20.04.2026

aav

3/5



CRL OP(MD). No.19271 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
NIB CID Wing, Kanyakumari
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19271 of 2025
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 19271 of 2025

Date : 20.04.2026