



CrIMP(MD)No.18296 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.04.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

**CrIMP(MD)No.18296 of 2025
in
CrIA(MD)No.1270 of 2025**

Ramakrishnan

...Petitioner

Vs

**1.The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Thalaiyuthu Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
[Crime No.126 of 2020]**

2.Athisayakani

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 430(1) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to suspend the sentence of imprisonment imposed by the Sessions Judge, Special Court for POCSO Act Cases, Tirunelveli in SplCC.No.33 of 2021 dated 26.09.2025 and enlarge the petitioner / appellant on bail, pending disposal of the above said criminal appeal.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Sorimuthu

**For Respondent : Mr.AS.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate**



CrIMP(MD)No.18296 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner is the sole accused in Spl.C.C.No.33 of 2021 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Special Court for POCSO Act Cases, Tirunelveli. The trial Court by judgment dated 26.09.2025 found the petitioner guilty, convicted and sentenced him as under:

(i) to undergo five years rigorous imprisonment and to pay a fine of Rs.3,000/-, in default, to undergo one year rigorous imprisonment for the offence under Section 11(i) r/w 12 of the POCSO Act;

(ii) to undergo one year rigorous imprisonment and to pay a fine of Rs.2,000/-, in default, to undergo three months rigorous imprisonment for the offence under Section 9(m) r/w 10 of POCSO Act ;

Challenging the judgment of conviction and sentence, the petitioner has filed a Criminal Appeal in CrI.A(MD)No.1270 of 2025 and the same has been admitted by this Court on 25.11.2025. Along with the appeal the petitioner has filed this petition to suspend the sentence.

2.Heard the learned counsel on either side.



CrIMP(MD)No.18296 of 2025

3.The petitioner 54 years old at the time of occurrence is said to have abused a 8 year old child. The case of the prosecution has been established by the evidence of the child and the evidence of the neighbour / PW4. Considering the available materials, this court is not inclined to entertain this petition. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

08.04.2026

DSK

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Sattur, Virudhunagar.
- 2.The Special Judge for Exclusive
Trial of Cases under POCSO Act,
Srivilliputhur.

Copy to

The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrIMP(MD)No.18296 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI.J.,

DSK

**CrIMP(MD)No.18296 of 2025
in
CrIA(MD)No.1270 of 2025**

08.04.2026