WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

**DATED: 23-10-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN**

**WP(MD) No. 30436 of 2026**

CNR:{HCMD011532062026}

Sri Gopalakrishna Higher Secondary School
Represented by its Secretary-cum-Correspondent,
E.M.G.S.Indrani,
Sirudur, Madurai - 625014

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Joint Director of School Education,
   Directorate of School Education, Chennai

2. The Chief Educational Officer,
   Madurai District

3. The District Educational Officer,
   Melur, Madurai District

..Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:** S-15. Writ Petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd Respondent to consider and pass appropriate reasoned orders on merits, in accordance with law, on the proposal dated 25.06.2018 submitted by the petitioner School seeking prior approval for imposing the punishment of dismissal from service on Mr.V.Ramakrishnan, in compliance with the order dated 12.03.2019 passed by this Court in W.P.(MD).No.5769 of 2019, within such time as may be fixed by this Court.



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s): M/s Baburajendran

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Sivasubramanian
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Civil Side)

**ORDER**

Heard both sides.

2. The writ petitioner is an aided school. One V.Ramakrishnan was appointed as drawing teacher in the said school on 03.01.1997. Disciplinary action was initiated against him. Finally, a proposal was submitted by the management to the competent authority/second respondent herein for granting prior approval for imposing the punishment of dismissal from service.

3. The grievance of the writ petitioner is that till date, no further order has been passed. In the meanwhile, several subsequent developments have also taken place. They have been referred to in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition. Some materials have also been enclosed in the typed set of papers.

4. The learned Government Advocate rightly points out that Ramakrishnan ought to have been impleaded in the writ petition.

5. I consciously refrain from going into the merits of the matter. I however direct the second respondent to pass an appropriate order on the proposal submitted by the management. Since several years have



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

elapsed, it will be only just and proper that the second respondent issues notice to the said Ramakrishnan before passing final order. It is needless to mention that any final order that may be passed by the second respondent ought to take into account the objection raised by Thiru.Ramakrishnan. This exercise shall be completed by the second respondent within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

**23-10-2026**

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
rmi

To

1. The Joint Director of School Education,
   Directorate of School Education, Chennai

2. The Chief Educational Officer,
   Madurai District

3. The District Educational Officer,
   Melur, Madurai District



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY



**G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.**
rmi

**WP(MD) No. 30436 of 2026**

**23-10-2026**



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis