



C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.06.2026

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY**


**C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026
and
CMP.(MD).No.2355 of 2026**

The Regional Manager,
National Insurance Company Limited,
No.33, Bharathidasan Road,
Cantonment,
Trichy

... Appellant

Vs.

- 1.Victoria
W/o. Chinnappan @ Vijayan
- 2.Jacqueline Ilakiya
W/o. Raja
- 3.Sukanya
W/o. Devaraj
- 4.Amududina
S/o. Chinnappan @ Vijayan



C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026

5.Ponnuthai
W/o. Arogyaswamy

6.Benjamin
S/o. Kuzhandhasamy

... Respondents

PRAYER:- Civil Miscellaneous Appeal filed under Section 173 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1973, to set aside the award passed in M.C.O.P.No. 745 of 2024 dated 17.07.2025 on the file of the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal/ Special District Judge, Thanjavur.

For Appellant : Mr.N.S.Ramakrishna Dass

For Respondents : Mr.K.N.Karunakaran
for R1 to R4
No Appearance
for R6

J U D G M E N T

**(Judgment of the Court was delivered by
N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.)**

This appeal has been filed by the Insurance Company challenging the award passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal/ Special District Judge, Thanjavur in M.C.O.P.No.745 of 2024 dated 17.07.2025.




C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The respondents are the claimants. The first respondent is the wife of the deceased, the respondents 2 to 4 are the children of the deceased and the fifth respondent is the mother of the deceased. The case of the respondent is that on 28.04.2024, at about 6.00 p.m., the deceased was the pillion rider in the two-wheeler. At that point of time, the sixth respondent, who was riding another two-wheeler, drove the same in a rash and negligent manner and dashed against the two-wheeler of the deceased, as a result of which the deceased sustained grievous injuries and was admitted as an inpatient in Thanjavur Medical College and Hospital till 29.04.2024. Subsequently he succumbed to the injuries on the same day. An FIR came to be registered in Crime No. 201 of 2024. It is under these circumstances, the claim petition came to be filed before the Tribunal.

3. The Tribunal, on considering the facts and circumstances of the case and on appreciation of oral and documentary evidence, came to a conclusion that the accident had taken place due to the rash and negligent driving on the part of the driver of the offending vehicle belonging to the



C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026

sixth respondent.

WEB COPY

4. Having rendered the above finding, the Tribunal proceeded to determine the compensation amount in the following manner:

Head	Amount
Loss of Income	Rs.26,78,940/-
Consortium to the 1 st respondent/wife of the deceased	Rs. 48,000/-
Parental Consortium to the 2 nd to 4 th respondents, children of the deceased	Rs. 1,44,000/-
Filial Consortium to the 5 th respondent, parent of the deceased	Rs. 48,000/-
Funeral Expenses	Rs. 18,000/-
Loss of Estate	Rs. 21,600/-
Total	Rs.29,58,540/-

5. The above compensation amount of Rs.29,58,540/- was directed to be paid along with interest at the rate of 7.5% per annum from the date of the petition till the date of deposit. However considering the fact that the driver of the offending vehicle did not possess a valid driving license, pay and recover was ordered.



C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. The Insurance Company has filed the present appeal mainly on the ground that the driver of the offending vehicle did not possess a valid driving license and therefore, pay and recover ought not to have been ordered.

7. This Court carefully considered the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant and also the award passed by the Tribunal.

8. The ground that was taken by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant is to the effect that the Tribunal ought not to have ordered for pay and recover. The said ground is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a batch of appeals in CMA.(MD).No.517 of 2025 etc, dated 01.06.2026. Hence, this Court does not find any illegality in the order passed by the Tribunal applying the principle of pay and recover.



C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026

WEB COPY

8. Insofar as the quantum of compensation is concerned, this Court finds that the Tribunal has fixed a fair and just compensation and it does not require the interference of this Court.

9. It is brought to the notice of this Court by the learned counsel appearing for the Insurance Company that the entire award amount has already been deposited before the Tribunal.

10. In the result, this Civil Miscellaneous Appeal stands dismissed. The claimants will be entitled to withdraw the compensation amount in the proportion as fixed by the Tribunal. No costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

[N.A.V., J.]

[S.S.Y., J.]

08.06.2026

NCC :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
RR



C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal/
Special District Judge,
Thanjavur.
 - 2.The Record Keeper (Vernacular Records),
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026

N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.
AND
S.SRIMATHY,J.

RR

C.M.A(MD)No.252 of 2026

08.06.2026