WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE K. GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI

WP Crl.(MD) No. 5897 of 2026

CNR:{HCMD011520242026}

A.Rajamani

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu
   The Superintendent of Police,
   Tiruchirappali District
   Tiruchirappali

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
   Manapparai Range,
   Thiruchirappalli District

3. The Inspector of Police,
   Puthanatham Police Station,
   Tiruchirappali District

4. Rajamanivelu,

5. Anbalagan, ..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the third respondent to provide adequate police protection to fence the property in $.F.No.517/19, in patta No.311, situated at Thirunellippatti Village, Marungapuri Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District - 621310, on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 12.08.2026.

For Petitioner(s) :Mr S. Manojkumar

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Respondent(s) : Mr.D.Rajaboopathy
Government Advocate (Crl.)
for R1 to R3

ORDER

The writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the third respondent to provide adequate police protection to fence the property in $.F.No.517/19, in patta No.311, situated at Thirunellippatti Village, Marungapuri Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District - 621310, on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 12.08.2026.

2.Mr.D.Rajaboopathy, Government Advocate (Crl), accepts notice on behalf of the respondents 1 to 3. Since no adverse order is going to be passed, notice to the remaining respondents is dispensed with.

3.The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation submitted by the petitioner seeking adequate police protection for fencing his property and fixing survey stone is pending without consideration. Hence, the petitioner seeks appropriate directions from this Court.

4.The learned Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the official respondents, on instructions, submits that the representation submitted by the petitioner would be considered on its own merits within the time to be stipulated by this Court.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5.Considering the above said fact, this Court without going into the merits of the matter, directs the third respondent to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner on merits and in accordance with law, after affording a fair opportunity of hearing to the petitioner herein as well as any other interest party, within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of

30-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
Rmk

To
1. The Superintendent of Police
   Tiruchirappali District
   Tiruchirappali

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
   Manapparai Range
   Thiruchirappalli District

3. The Inspector of Police
   Puthanatham Police Station
   Tiruchirappali District

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor
   Madurai Bench of Madras High Court
   Madurai

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

K.GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI, J.

Rmk

WP Crl.(MD) No. 5897 of 2026

30-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis