WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE K. GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI

WP Crl.(MD) No. 5915 of 2026

CNR:{HCMD011516282026}

K.Raveesh

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police
   District Police Officer,
   Virudhunagar District

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
   District Crime Branch-II,
   District Police Office,
   Virudhunagar District

3. The Inspector of Police
   Police Station, Krishnankovil

4. Rani,

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 3 to take appropriate action against the fourth respondents for the offences under Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, based on the petitioner's complaint dated 09.09.2026, within the time frame fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. S.Ramanathan

For Respondent(s) :Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi
Additional Public Prosecutor

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to take appropriate action against the fourth respondents for the offences under Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, based on the petitioner's complaint dated 09.09.2026, within the time frame fixed by this Court.

2.Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents 1to 3. Since no adverse order is going to be passed, notice to the private respondent is dispensed with.

3.By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

4.The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation submitted by the petitioner seeking action against the fourth respondents for the offences under Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, is pending without consideration. Hence, the petitioner seeks appropriate directions from this Court.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the official respondents, on instructions, submits that the representation submitted by the petitioner would be considered on its own merits within the time to be stipulated by this Court.

6.Considering the above said fact, this Court without going into the merits of the matter, directs the third respondent to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner on merits and in accordance with law, after affording a fair opportunity of hearing to the petitioner herein as well as any other interest party, within a period of three (3) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of.

30-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
Rmk

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
   District Police Officer
   Virudhunagar District

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
   District Crime Branch-II
   District Police Office
   Virudhunagar District

3. The Inspector of Police
   Police Station, Krishnankovil

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor
   Madurai Bench of Madras High Court
   Madurai

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

K.GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI, J.

Rmk

WP Crl.(MD) No. 5915 of 2026

30-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis