



CRL OP(MD). No.18774 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 08/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.18774 of 2025

S.Manickam

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu Rep By,
The Inspector of Police,
PEW Madurai City Police Station,
Madurai.

Cr.No.188 of 2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No.188 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s.Maharanathan.T,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner / A1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial



CRL OP(MD). No.18774 of 2025

custody on 20.08.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(B), 21(c) and 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985, in Crime No.188 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 20.08.2025, at about 08.15 a.m, on the secret information, the respondent police went to Fish Market of Mattuthavani. On seeing the police party, the accused tried to escape from the scene of occurrence. However, the respondent police nabbed them. On searching, the accused were found in illegal possession of 960 numbers of Nitrosen Tablet (10 mg). Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.08.2025. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner on the ground



CRL OP(MD). No.18774 of 2025

that the quantity involved in this case is a commercial quantity and the petitioner is having 5 previous case similar in nature and recovery was also made from the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and the quantity involved in this case is a commercial quantity and the petitioner is having 5 previous case and all are similar kind of cases and recovery was also made from this petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

08.04.2026

dss

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

To

3/4



CRL OP(MD). No.18774 of 2025

1.The Inspector of Police,
PEW Madurai City Police Station,
Madurai.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18774 of 2025

Date : 08/04/2026