WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

**DATED: 28-10-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR**

**CRL OP(MD) No. 24467 of 2026**

CNR:{HCMD011510252026}

Muniyasamy
S/o.Thangapandi,
No.3/52, Vittilarenthal,
V.Nangoor Village,
Kariyapatti Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.

..Petitioner/
Accused No.3

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kariyapatti Police station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.255/2026.

..Respondent/
Complainant

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.

**PRAYER :** For Bail in Crime No.255 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s) :Mr.P.Manokaran,
Advocate

For Respondent(s) :Mr.C.Susikumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioner / third accused, who was arrested and remanded to



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

judicial custody on 14.09.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 309(6) BNS altered into Sections 296(b), 309(6) r/w 311 BNS, in Crime No.255 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 08.09.2026, while the defacto complainant was proceeding on his two-wheeler, one person stopped him and sought a lift. After the defacto complainant gave him a lift, the said person snatched Rs.4,000/- and a cell phone from him and attempted to flee. When the defacto complainant stopped his two-wheeler, the said person raised an alarm, following which three other persons arrived at the scene. All four persons allegedly assaulted the defacto complainant. During the course of the assault, the cell phone of the defacto complainant fell from the pocket of one of the accused, which the defacto complainant retrieved and threw into the thorn bushes. Thereafter, the accused persons attacked him and fled the scene. Hence, the complaint.

3. No doubt, the petitioner's earlier petition for bail in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.22096 of 2026 came to be dismissed as withdrawn by this Court on 30.09.2026.



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the first accused was granted bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22779 of 2026 on 14.10.2026 and that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 14.09.2026.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the accused persons snatched Rs.4,000/- from the defacto complainant and the same came to be recovered. He would further submit that the petitioner is not having any previous cases.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances and also the facts that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 14.09.2026, that the property has already been recovered, that the first accused was granted bail by this Court and that the petitioner is not having any bad antecedents, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five**



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

**Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kariapatti, Virudhunagar District, and on further conditions that:

a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate / concerned court may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

c) the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];***

f) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

under Section 269 BNS, 2023.

**28-10-2026**

CSM

To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kariapatti, Virudhunagar District.

2. Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Virudhunagar.

3. The Officer-in-Charge, District Jail, Virudhunagar.

4. The Inspector of Police,
   Kariyapatti Police station,
   Virudhunagar District.

5. The Additional Public Prosecutor
   Madurai Bench of Madras High Court
   Madurai



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY



**K.MURALI SHANKAR, J.**

CSM

**CRL OP(MD) No. 24467 of 2026**

**28-10-2026**



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis