WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE K. GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI

WP Crl.(MD) No. 5902 of 2026

CNR:{HCMD011487362026}

Rengaraj

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu, Rep. by The Superintendent of Police, Dinidgul Dindigul District.

2. State Of Tamilnadu Rep By Inspector Of Police, Eriodu Police Station, Dindigul District.

3. Saravanakumar ..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner for erecting boundary stones and putting up barbed-wire fencing in his property comprised in Survey No. 1522/3A1, situated at R. Kombai Village, Kujiliyamparai Taluk, Dindigul District, in the revenue authorities on 25.08.2026 as per the order of this Court in W.P(MD).No.22713 of 2026 dated 10.08.2026 by considering the petitioner’s representation dated 04.09.2026.

For Petitioner(s) :Mr.T.Sekar
For Respondent(s) :Mr.D.Rajaboopathy
Government Advocate (Crl.)
for R1 & R2

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner for erecting boundary stones and putting up barbed-wire fencing in his property comprised in Survey No. 1522/3A1, situated at R. Kombai Village, Kujiliyamparai Taluk, Dindigul District, in the revenue authorities on 25.08.2026 as per the order of this Court in W.P(MD).No.22713 of 2026 dated 10.08.2026 by considering the petitioner's representation dated 04.09.2026.

2.Mr.D.Rajaboopathy, learned Government Advocate (Crl.) accepts notice on behalf of the respondents 1 and 2. Since no adverse order is going to be passed, notice to the private respondent is dispensed with.

3.By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

4.The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation submitted by the petitioner seeking adequate police protection for fencing

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

his property and fixing survey stone is pending without consideration. Hence, the petitioner seeks appropriate directions from this Court.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.) appearing on behalf of the official respondents, on instructions, submits that the representation submitted by the petitioner would be considered on its own merits within the time to be stipulated by this Court.

6.Considering the above said fact, this Court without going into the merits of the matter, directs the second respondent to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner on merits and in accordance with law, after affording a fair opportunity of hearing to the petitioner herein as well as the third respondent within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of.

30-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Rmk

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Superintendent of Police, Dinidgul
   Dindigul District

2. Inspector Of Police, Eriodu
   Police Station
   Dindigul District

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor
   Madurai Bench of Madras High Court
   Madurai

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

K.GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI, J.

Rmk

WP Crl.(MD) No. 5902 of 2026

30-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis