



CRL OP(MD). No. 18212 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 18212 of 2025

M.Paulmerry ...Petitioner/A1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruthangal Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.361 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner :Mr.B.Sargunam
Advocate.

For Respondent :Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervener :Mr.R.Boopathi
for M/S.S.P.Naveenkumar

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.361 of
2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 18212 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused No.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 464, 465, 467 and 471 of IPC, in Crime No.361 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant's late father was allotted a free house site patta by the Tamil Nadu Government on 16.06.1961 in S.No.1746/1A of Keelathiruthangal Village. After his father's death, the property stands in the name of the defacto complainant's family. However, the petitioner and other accused persons prepared forged documents claiming ownership of the defacto complainant's ancestral property. The petitioner and other accused fabricated false



CRL OP(MD). No. 18212 of 2025

sale deeds and a forged Will dated 13.08.2007 in the name of the deceased and unrelated persons and they were used to claim title over the property. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are relatives. He would further submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the co-accused were already granted anticipatory bail by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervener would submit that the petitioner and other accused persons fabricated false sale deeds and a forged Will dated 13.08.2007 in the name of



CRL OP(MD). No. 18212 of 2025

the deceased and claimed title over the property.

Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the accused persons created false documents and claimed right over the properties. He would further submit that the investigation is still pending and the offences are grave in nature. He fairly submits that the petitioner has no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and nature of offence and considering the fact that there was a dispute with regard to the



CRL OP(MD). No. 18212 of 2025

property between the parties and as the date of FIR is 19.09.2025, by this time material part of the investigation might have been completed and the co-accused were already granted anticipatory bail by this Court and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and hence, the interim anticipatory bail granted by this Court to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sivakasi, Virudhunagar District, and on further conditions that:



[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the



CRL OP(MD). No. 18212 of 2025

WEB COPY

petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)

07.04.2026
2/2

vsg

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Sivakasi, Virudhunagar District,

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruthangal Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

7/8



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 18212 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 18212 of 2025

Date : 07.04.2026
2/2