



CRL OP(MD). No.17619 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No.17619 of 2025

Deepak

...Petitioner/Accused No.4
Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
karimedu Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No.95 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/S.M.Keerthi Prasanna
For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Cr.No. 95 of 2025 on the
file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.17619 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner /A4, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 10.02.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C), 25 and 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985, in Crime No.95 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 09.02.2025, the defacto complainant/Sub Inspector of Police, received a secret information and based on that, he along with police officials went to surveillance, at that time, they intercepted the petitioner and other accused persons and found that the petitioner and other accused person were in illegal possession of 150 kgs of Ganja. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the respondent police have



CRL OP(MD). No.17619 of 2025

registered a false case against the petitioner for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C), 25 and 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985. The petitioner is an innocent and he is nothing to do with the alleged offences. He is in judicial custody from 10.02.2025. Therefore prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the accused persons were found in illegal possession of 150 kgs of Ganja. He would further submit that the petitioner has one previous case, which is a commercial quantity. He would further submit that the investigation is still pending and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No.17619 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side, considering the fact that the quantity of contraband involved in this case is a commercial quantity and he has once previous case and further the petitioner has not satisfied the twin condition as per Section 37 of the NDPS Act, hence, this Court is declined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

(P D B J)
07.04.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No.17619 of 2025

WEB COPY To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
karimedu Police Station,
Madurai City.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.17619 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 17619 of 2025

Date : 07.04.2026