



CRL OP(MD). No. 17484 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 17484 of 2025

Duraimurugan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The Union of India
rep. by Junior Intelligence Officer
Narcotics Control Bureau,
Chennai Zonal Unit.
(NCB F.No.48/1/22/2024/NCB/MDS)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Vijayaraja
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.C.Arulvadivel @ Sekar
Special Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in NCB F.No.48/1/22/2024/NCB/MDS on the file of the
respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 17484 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused-1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.11.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C), 28, 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 in NCB F.No. 48/1/22/2024/NCB/MDS on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that based on the secret information, on 13.11.2024 at about 12.00, when the respondent police were in surveillance, they found that A3 and one Balakrishnan were transporting ganja in a container bearing Reg.No.TN-88-J-2911 and delivering to A1 in a vehicle bearing Reg.No.TN-66-AA-3305. On surveillance, they found that they were in illegal possession of 45 kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to judicial



CRL OP(MD). No. 17484 of 2025

custody on 15.11.2024. He would further submit that one of the co-accused was released on statutory bail and the petitioner is also eligible for statutory bail. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the case has been registered under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C), 28, 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 in NCB F.No.48/1/22/2024/NCB MDS. He would further submit that the contraband was transporting to the vehicle of the petitioner and the quantity involved in this case is a commercial quantity and the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that the



CRL OP(MD). No. 17484 of 2025

quantity involved in this case is a commercial quantity and as per the prosecution, the contraband was transporting to this petitioner's vehicle and also recovered from this petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Insofar as the statutory bail is concerned, the petitioner is at liberty to avail the same in accordance with law.

(P D B J)
15.04.2026

apd

To

1.The Junior Intelligence Officer,
Narcotics Control Bureau,
Chennai Zonal Unit.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 17484 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 17484 of 2025

Date : 15.04.2026