



CRL OP(MD). No. 15747 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 15747 of 2025

Vijayakumar,
S/o.Chellappan

...Petitioner/A8

Vs

1.State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Economic Offence Wings,
Kanyakumari District.
(Crime No.1 of 2025)

...1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Shajahan

...2nd Respondent/ De-facto
Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Cenil.G,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER : For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.1 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 15747 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A8, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 120B of IPC read with Section 5 of TANPID Act, in Crime No.1 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with the other accused, was running a finance company under the name and style of “Packiapuram Eustackiar Makkal Nidhi Limited”. They are alleged to have received money from the de-facto complainant by way of a fixed deposit and failed to repay the same. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case, and that he is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel would further submit that the petitioner, who has been arrayed as A8 in this case, is merely one of the members of the Board of the said company, and that some of the co-accused have already been granted



CRL OP(MD). No. 15747 of 2025

anticipatory bail by this Court. Hence, he prays for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner, who has been arrayed as A8 in this case, is one of the members of the Board of the said company. He would further submit that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioner and that the main accused persons in this case have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court. However, he opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side, the nature of the offences alleged against the petitioner, the fact that the main accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail, and further taking into account that the petitioner, who has been arrayed as A8, is merely one of the members of the Board of the said company, and that there are no previous cases registered against him, as well as the fact that



CRL OP(MD). No. 15747 of 2025

the case has been pending since 2025 and so far the respondent police has not taken steps to secure the accused, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take



CRL OP(MD). No. 15747 of 2025

WEB COPY

appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
30.04.2026

mkn

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.I,
Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Economic Offence Wings,
Kanyakumari District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 15747 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

mkn

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 15747 of 2025

Date : 30.04.2026