



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :02.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

and

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.POORNIMA

HABEAS CORPUS PETITION(MD)No.1124 of 2025

G.Sharumathi

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai- 600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi

3. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison, Palayamkottai
Tirunelveli

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records connected with the detention order passed in H.S (MD)Confdl.No.61 of 2025 dated 01.07.2025 on the file of the second respondent herein and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu namely the petitioner's husband i.e., Suresh aged about 24 years , S/o.Sakthivel now detained at the Central Prison,



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2025

Palayamkottai before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr. N. Pragalathan

For Respondents: Mr.T.Senthil Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.]

This Habeus Corpus Petition has been filed to quash the detention order passed by the second respondent in H.S(M) Confdl. No.61 of 2025 dated 01.07.2025 and consequently direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu namely the petitioner's husband i.e., Suresh aged about 24 years , S/o.Sakthivel now detained at the Central Prison, Palayamkottai before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2025

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner though raised several grounds he vehemently contended that in the ground case trial commenced and two of the eye witnesses turned hostile.

4. The ground raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner is not a valid ground to quash the order of detention passed by the second respondent. It is a case of retaliation murder and if the detenu comes out on bail there is a chance for retaliation murder and there is law and order issue .

5. In view of the same, this Court finds that the detenu has not made out any ground to interfere with the order of detention passed by the second respondent, hence, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, the Habeus Corpus Petition stands dismissed.

[G.K.I., J.] [R.P., J.]
02.02.2026

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
aav



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2025

To:

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat
Chennai- 600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi
3. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison, Palayamkottai
Tirunelveli
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.
AND
R.POORNIMA, J.

aav

HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2025

DATED :02.02.2026