



CRP(MD). No.2614 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 20.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

CRP(MD). No.2614 of 2025

K.Siva

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.Madurai Hindu Permanent Fund Office,
Madurai.

2.M.Shanmugam

... Respondents

PRAYER :-Civil Revision Petition filed under Section 115 of the Civil Procedure Code, to set aside the impugned fair and decretal order dated 29.08.2025 made in E.A.No.3 of 2025 in No.49 of 2015 in O.S.No.307 of 2007 on the file of the learned III Additional Sub Judge, Madurai.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Appadurai
M/s.H.Thayumanaswamy

For Respondents : Mr.C.Venkatesand for R1
for M/s.Vijayalakshmi

Ms.S.Jayakodi, for R2
Legal aid counsel



CRP(MD). No.2614 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Civil Revision Petition has been filed challenging the impugned fair and decretal order dated 29.08.2025 made in E.A.No.3 of 2025 in E.P.No.49 of 2015 in O.S.No.307 of 2007 on the file of the learned III Additional Sub Court, Madurai.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel appearing for the respondents and perused the materials available on record.

3.It is the case of the revision petitioner that pursuant to the order passed by this Court, 25% of the total amount, i.e., a sum of Rs.5,25,250/-, was paid initially and thereafter the balance amount of Rs.15,75,750/- was also paid to the first respondent. According to the petitioner, the entire sale consideration has been paid and therefore the first respondent is bound to execute the sale deed in favour of the revision petitioner.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the first respondent fairly



CRP(MD). No.2614 of 2025

submitted that since the entire amount has been paid, there is no impediment for executing the sale deed in favour of the revision petitioner.

5.This Court, having considered the submissions made on either side and on perusing the materials available on record, is of the view that since the entire sale consideration has been paid by the revision petitioner, there is no impediment for executing the sale deed in his favour. Hence, the impugned order passed by the trial Court is liable to be set aside.

6.Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 29.08.2025 passed in E.A.No.3 of 2025 in E.P.No. 49 of 2015 in O.S.No.307 of 2007 is set aside. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

7. Though the matter has been dismissed as infructuous, the Court places on record its appreciation for the commendable efforts of the legal aid counsel for the first respondent, namely, Ms.Jayakodi, for conducting



CRP(MD). No.2614 of 2025

the case diligently and providing valuable assistance to this Court. The High Court Legal Services Committee attached to this Bench shall pay a total sum of Rs.10,000/- towards remuneration to the legal aid counsel for conducting the case, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, without fail.

8.Registry is directed to forward a copy of this order to the Legal Aid Committee for information.

Speaking : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No

20.02.2026

TM

To

- 1.The III Additional Subordinate Judge, Madurai.
- 2.The Section Officer,
E.R.Section/V.R.Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.
- 3.The Legal Aid Committee,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRP(MD). No.2614 of 2025

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

TM

CRP(MD). No.2614 of 2025

20.02.2026