WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 26-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

WP(MD) No. 14998 of 2017
CNR:{HCMD011211472017}

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.11842 of 2017

Hapeef

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The Sub Collector
   Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram.

2. The Tahsildar,
   Kadaladi Taluk Office,
   Kadaladi,
   Ramanathapuram District.

3. A.K.Mohammed Ali

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: To issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order Pa.Mu.A3/10111/2015 dated 14.03.2016 passed by the 1st respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the 1st and 2nd respondent to restore the patta in Survey No.601/25 at Appanoor Village, Kadaladi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District stands in the name of the petitioner.

For Petitioner: Mr. J. Senthil Kumaraiah
For M/s.Dhana Law Associates

For Respondents: Mr.I.Pinaygash
Special Government Pleader
For R1 And R2
Mr.J. Lawrance
For R3

ORDER

Heard learned counsel appearing on either side.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. This Writ Petition has been filed for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus challenging the impugned order Pa.Mu.A3/10111/2015 dated 14.03.2016 passed by the 1st respondent and consequently direct the 1st and 2nd respondent to restore the patta in Survey No.601/25 at Appanoor Village, Kadaladi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District stands in the name of the petitioner.

3. The present writ petition has been filed challenging the order cancelling the patta granted to the petitioner in respect of an assignment of a house site, pursuant to a representation made by the 3rd respondent herein.

4. A perusal of the impugned order indicates that the assigned land was required to be used as a house site by constructing a house, and that such assignment was made by an order dated 17.03.2015. The petitioner has produced the house tax receipt in support of the contention that a house has been constructed on the assigned land in compliance with the conditions of the assignment. However, the impugned order does not take this document into consideration. In these circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned order was passed arbitrarily, without due consideration of the relevant factual aspects of the case. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 14.03.2016 is set aside insofar as it relates to the petitioner alone. The

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

respondents are directed to maintain the revenue records in accordance with the assignment order dated 17.03.2015.

5. In view of the above, the Writ Petition stands **disposed of**. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

26-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Nsr

To:

1. The Sub Collector
   Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram.

2. The Tahsildar,
   Kadaladi Taluk Office,
   Kadaladi,
   Ramanathapuram District.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

K.KUMARESH BABU, J.
Nsr

WP(MD) No. 14998 of 2017

26-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis