



CRL OP(MD). No. 16630 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 16630 of 2025

Saravanakumar

...Petitioner/Accused-3

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Gudalur North Police Station
Theni District
Crime No.252 of 2024

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Raghul
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 252 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD). No. 16630 of 2025

The petitioner / A3, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.12.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C), 29(1), 27(A) and 25 of NDPS Act in Crime No. 252 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 22.725 kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is only an acting driver and he has only driven the vehicle . He has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.12.2024 Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner along with other accused



CRL OP(MD). No. 16630 of 2025

were found in illegal possession of 22.725 kgs of ganja which is a commercial quantity. He would further submit that there are materials against the petitioner, hence he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, and considering the facts that the quantity of contraband involved in this case is commercial quantity and this petitioner is none other the driver of the vehicle in which the contraband was transported and the contraband was recovered from this petitioner this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

(P D B J)
16.04.2026

aav

3/5



CRL OP(MD). No. 16630 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Gudalur North Police Station
Theni District
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 16630 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 16630 of 2025

Date : 16.04.2026