WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN

CMP(MD) No. 12274 of 2026

CNR:{HCMD011179592026}

IN

WA(MD) SR NO. 70277 OF 2026

N.Abdul Basith,
S/o.Late Naina Mohammed,
No.176-2/374-5,
M.S.Agraharam Road,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. M.Kathija Beevi,
   W/o.Late.Moosakani,
   No.75A, Munichalai Road,
   Madurai.

2. The Tahsildar,
   Paramakudi Taluk,
   Ramanathapuram District.

3. The Sub Registrar,
   Paramakudi Taluk,
   Ramanathapuram District.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: To condone the delay of 200 days in filing the present Writ Appeal against the order dated 30.10.2025 passed by the Learned Single Judge in W.P.(MD).No.18611 of 2025 and thus render justice.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s) :Mr.K.C.Ramalingam

For Respondent(s) :Mr.R.Murali for R1
Mr.A.Mohan
Government Advocate for R2 & R3

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by P. VELMURUGAN J.)

This petition has been filed seeking to condone the delay of 200 days in filing the present writ appeal.

2. The petitioner has stated that the first respondent filed a writ petition in W.P.(MD) No.18611 of 2025, arraying the petitioner as the third respondent. Upon receipt of notice, the petitioner engaged a counsel to enter appearance and represent him in the matter. However, during the subsequent hearings, the counsel failed to appear and contest the matter on his behalf. The petitioner would contend that the failure of his counsel to appear before this Court was entirely beyond his knowledge and control. He further stated that he came to know of the fact that no effective representation had been made on his behalf and of the order dated 30.10.2026 only upon receipt of an enquiry notice dated 25.07.2026 from the second respondent. Hence, the present writ appeal has been filed with a delay of 200 days.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for the first respondent would submit that the petitioner was well aware of the order passed by the learned Single Judge and had, in fact, already filed a writ appeal in W.A. (MD) SR No.17467 of 2026, which was returned on 26.02.2026 for carrying out certain corrections. Instead of re-presenting the said appeal after complying with the defects pointed out, the petitioner has chosen to file the present writ appeal.

4. Considering the fact that the petitioner has suppressed the material fact that he had already filed a writ appeal, which was returned for compliance with certain defects, and has not approached this Court with clean hands, coupled with the fact that the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit are not satisfactory, we are not inclined to condone the delay.

5. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed. Consequently, the connected W.A.(MD) SR No.70277 of 2026 is also dismissed.

(P.V.,J.) (K.K.R.K.,J.)
30-10-2026

AM

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Tahsildar
   Paramakudi Taluk
   Ramanathapuram District

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

P.VELMURUGAN, J.
AND
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.
AM

CMP(MD) No. 12274 of 2026
IN
WA(MD) SR NO. 70277 OF 2026

30-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis