



H.C.P.(MD)No.976 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED :05.02.2026

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R. POORNIMA**

H.C.P.(MD)No.976 of 2025

C.Angel

... Petitioner

-vs-

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi

3. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison
Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli

4. The Superintendent
District Jail, Perurani
Thoothukudi District

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records connected with the detention order passed in



H.C.P.(MD)No.976 of 2025

H.S(M) Confdl.No.73 of 2025 dated 16.07.2025 on the file of the second respondent herein and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu namely the petitioner's husband ie. Chandran, aged about 21 years. S/o.Sekar now detained at the District Jail, Peruruani, Thoothukudi District before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan

For Respondents : Mr.T.Senthil Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN,J.**)

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz., Chandran, aged about 21 years. S/o.Sekar . The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in detention order in H.S(M) Confdl.No.73 of 2025 dated 16.07.2025 holding him to be a "Goonda, as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this habeas corpus petition.



H.C.P.(MD)No.976 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner raised a specific ground that the detenu was not provided with tamil version of the document which was annexed in page nos. 25,65,285,481 of the booklet. He also submitted representation dated 08.08.2025 and requested the Government to provide translated version of those pages. However it was not furnished to the detenu, hence he was not able to make effective representation to re-consider the order of detention.

4. A perusal of the counter affidavit and also persual of the proforma produced by the respondent reveals that no such



H.C.P.(MD)No.976 of 2025

WEB COPY

representation was received by any one of the authority. The petitioner also failed to produce any proof that the said representation dated 08.08.2025 was sent to the authorities. That apart the detainee is a habitual offender and he is involved in 16 previous cases and the detainee is provided with remand report in which all the pending cases were mentioned in Tamil . That apart pages nos. 25 and 65 relates to cases in which the detenu involved so far. Therefore no prejudice caused to the detenu to make effective representation to re-consider the order of detention.

5. In view of the same, this Court finds no infirmity or illegality in the order passed by the second respondent, hence the petition stands dismissed.

[G.K.I., J.] [R.P., J.]
05.02.2026

NCC :Yes/No
Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
aav



H.C.P.(MD)No.976 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi
3. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison
Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli
4. The Superintendent
District Jail, Perurani
Thoothukudi District
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD)No.976 of 2025

G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN,J.
AND
R. POORNIMA,J.

aav

H.C.P.(MD)No.976 of 2025

05.02.2026