



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.674 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : **02.06.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI**

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.674 of 2025

Hakkim Shet

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palani Taluk Police Station,
Neykarapatti,
Dindigul District.

3. Chinnakannu @ Chinnasamy

4. Indhrani

5. Veerakumar

6. Murugesan

7. Aathikannan

8. Ammapatti

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 1st and 2nd respondent herein to provide police protection, to the petitioner life and limb and to carry out brick kiln business in the property in Survey No. 290, to the extent of 1 acre 35 cents,



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.674 of 2025

situated at Balasamudram Village, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District, on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 15.07.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Suresh Kannan
For Respondents : Mr.S.Arun Arockiasamy (R1 & R2)
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)
Mr.P.Sujai Krishnna (R3 & R4)
Ms.J.Kalyani (R5 to R8)

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking for a direction to the 1st and 2nd respondents herein to provide police protection to the petitioner's life and limb and to carry out brick kiln business in the property in S.No. 290, measuring to the extent of 1 acre 35 cents, situated at Balasamudram Village, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District, on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 15.07.2025.

2. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent police submitted that, despite having issued a notice to the petitioner pursuant to his representation, the petitioner did not turn up for the enquiry. Therefore, the petition was closed, recording the petitioner's non-cooperation.



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.674 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. Per contra, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that, despite the petitioner having appeared twice for enquiry, the respondent police failed to conduct any enquiry.

4. In view of the submissions made, this Court hereby directs the second respondent police to reopen the complaint given by the petitioner, issue notice to the petitioner as well as the private respondents under Section 64 of the BNSS, and conclude the enquiry within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. This writ petition is disposed with the above directions.

02.06.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
sm



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.674 of 2025

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

Sm

TO:-

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Palani Taluk Police Station,
Neykarapatti,
Dindigul District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Order made in
W.P.Crl.(MD)No.674 of 2025

Dated
02.06.2026