



CRL OP(MD). No. 12731 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No. 12731 of 2026

1.A.Prabakaran
2.S.Kirubakaran
3.M.Dharmaraj
4.D.Vijay
5.A.Sivaramakrishnan

...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kanakiliyanallur Police Station,
Trichy.
(Crime No. not known of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : M/s.R.Yamuna
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.I.Murugesan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 12731 of 2026

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. not known of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No. 102 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 13.05.2026, knowing the fact that the defacto complainant had love affair with the first petitionr's sister, when the accused persons questioned the same, there was a wordy quarrel arose, during which they abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, attacked him and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that injured



CRL OP(MD). No. 12731 of 2026

was discharged from the hospital and the petitioners have no previous case and he is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No. 102 of 2026. He confirmed that injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioners have no previous case. He further submitted that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioners have no previous case, I am of the view that custodial interrogation is not



CRL OP(MD). No. 12731 of 2026

necessary in this case and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, Trichy, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of one week, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation.

[d] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during



CRL OP(MD). No. 12731 of 2026

WEB COPY

investigation or trial.

[f] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[g] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
29.06.2026

apd

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, Trichy.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kanakiliyanallur Police Station,
Trichy.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 12731 of 2026

K.RAJASEKAR, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 12731 of 2026

Date : 29.06.2026