



CRL OP(MD). No.12795 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.12795 of 2026

1. Krishnamoorthi,
S/o. Angamuthu,
No. 3/147 A, Nagappamuthlipudur Sallai,
Unniyur,
Thottiyam,
Trichy District..

2. Subha,,
W/o. Krishnamoorthi,
No. 3/147 A, Nagappamuthlipudur Sallai,
Unniyur,
Thottiyam,
Trichy District..

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.3 and 4

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
DCB Police Station,
Trichy District.
Crime No. 9 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.12795 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.P. Surliraja,
Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)
For Intervener : Mr.S.Vidhya Sagar

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS


PRAYER :-

C-24B. For Bail in Crime No. 9/2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A3 and A4, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.06.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 316, 318(4) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 @ Section 316, 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 351(2) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.9 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners herein are the parents of A1 in this case namely Logeswaran, has promised to arrange job at United Kingdom and collected more than Rs.30,00,000/- from the defacto complainant with the help of the another accused




CRL OP(MD), No.12795 of 2026

namely, Prabhakar, who is arrayed as A2 in this case. Hence the case.

The petitioners are ranked as A3 and A4 and they have been arrested and remanded to judicial custody from 09.06.2026.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are parents of A1 and they have been falsely implicated in this case. The FIR also revealed that in the presence of these petitioners, money was handed over to the other accused and the money was transferred to A1 and A2 through only bank transaction. He would further submit that the petitioners have been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.06.2026 and no previous case is pending against the petitioners and there are no specific materials available on records against the petitioners. Therefore, he prayed to grant bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervener would submit that the petitioners are neighbours of the defacto complainant and only on the assurance given by them, the defacto complainant has come forward to pay the money on various period and the petitioners have



CRL OP(MD), No.12795 of 2026

colluded with other accused created fake visa clearance and issued to the defacto complainant. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned counsel for state of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and further submit that the payments have been made by the defacto complainant to the bank account of A1 and A2. He would further submit that the investigation is still pending and the petitioners have no previous cases. Since A1 still in abroad, the investigation is not progressing. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and the payments have been made by the defacto complainant through the bank account of A1 and A2 and the petitioners have no previous cases and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners from 09.06.2026, I am



CRL OP(MD). No.12795 of 2026

inclined to grant bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:


[a] Accordingly, this petition is ordered and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Trichy, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted



CRL OP(MD), No.12795 of 2026

WEB COPY

with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(K R S J)
30.06.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No.12795 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Trichy.
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Trichy.
- 3.The Superintendent, Women Prison, Trichy.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
DCB Police Station,
Trichy District.
- 5+. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.12795 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR,J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12795 of 2026

Date : 30/06/2026