



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12474 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 12474 of 2026

Dinesh

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvudaimaruthur All Women Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.35 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Arumugam
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 35 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Sections 126(2), 296(b), 351(2), 62, 64, 74 and 75 of the BNS, in



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12474 of 2026

Crime No.35 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant was going to a grove for nature's call, the petitioner misbehaved with her and attempted to rape her. On seeing the arrival of somebody, he threatened her not to disclose the same to anybody. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner and the defacto complainant are close relatives. The defacto complainant has illegal conduct. When the same was warned by the petitioner, she lodged the present complaint. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. The petitioner misbehaved with the defacto complainant and attempted to commit rape. The petitioner has no previous cases. Investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12474 of 2026

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, there is no previous case against the petitioner and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif cumd Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvudaimarudhur**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty days, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12474 of 2026

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 51730].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
25.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The District Munsif cumd Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvidadaimarudhur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvidadaimaruthur All Women Police Station,
Thanjavur District. (Crime No.35 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Thirumangalam.

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12474 of 2026

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12474 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 12474 of 2026

Date : 25.06.2026