



CRL OP(MD). No. 12344 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 12344 of 2026

Subba Naickar

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station
Illuppur, Pudukottai.
(Crime No. 10 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sekar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 10 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 12344 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 5(l), 5(j)(ii) and 6 of POCSO Act and Section 9 of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, in Crime No. 10 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner married the victim, who aged about 17 years on 23.05.2025, due to which the victim has become pregnant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has




CRL OP(MD). No. 12344 of 2026

been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 5(l), 5(j)(ii) and 6 of POCSO Act and Section 9 of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, in Crime No. 10 of 2026. He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the relationship between the parties and though the alleged occurrence took place on 23.05.2025, FIR has been registered only on 18.06.2026 and the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy



CRL OP(MD). No. 12344 of 2026

WEB COPY

made ready, before the learned Sessions Judge, Sessions Court for Exclusive trial under POCSO Act cases, Pudukottai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself



CRL OP(MD). No. 12344 of 2026

as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.

WEB COPY State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
25.06.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Sessions Judge,
Sessions Court for Exclusive trial under POCSO Act cases,
Pudukottai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station
Illuppur, Pudukottai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 12344 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 12344 of 2026

Date : 25.06.2026