



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13017 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 02.07.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No. 13017 of 2026

Vellaisamy

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thuvarankurichi Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.84 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Pradeep
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 84 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.08.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 127(2), 309(4) of BNS, subsequently altered into the Sections 127(2), 310(2),



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13017 of 2026

329(4) and 325 of BNS, in Crime No.84 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner joining hands with the other accused involved in decoity and totally 9.5 sovereigns of gold jewels and Rs.1 lakhs cash was taken away. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. This Court vide order dated 24.11.2025, in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.20827 of 2025 dismissed the bail application filed by the petitioner on merits. Subsequently, to the dismissal of the bail application all the co-accused, who are similarly placed were released on bail, vide order dated 28.04.2026. The petitioner is in custody from 12.08.2025 and ready to abide any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the State reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the petitioner has one previous case. Investigation in this case has been completed and the final report was also filed before the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13017 of 2026

concerned Court and now the case is pending for examination of Investigating Officer. He opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the nature of offence, the co-accused, who is similarly placed was released on bail, investigation has also been completed and final report was filed before the concerned Court and now the case is pending for examination of Investigating Officer and also considering the period of incarceration, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions.

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruchirappalli**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall **report before the learned II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruchirappalli, at 10.30 a.m., on all working days for a period of four weeks, thereafter as**



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13017 of 2026

and when required for interrogation;

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 51730].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(K R S J)
02.07.2026

TM



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.13017 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruchirappalli.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thuvarankurichi Police Station,
Trichy District. (Crime No.84 of 2025)
- 3.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Trichy.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13017 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 13017 of 2026

Date : 02.07.2026