



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12131 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 12131 of 2026

M.Meena Sundaram

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by

The Inspector of Police,

All Women Police Station (South),

Thilagar Thidal Range, South Veli Street, Madurai District.

(Crime No.26 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Ragatheesh Kumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 26 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 9(d) and (f) r/w Section 10 of POCSO Act, in Crime No.26 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12131 of 2026

anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant lodged a complaint before the respondent police that while dropping her child from the school to their home on 06.06.2026 and 11.06.2026 the petitioner had put his hand on her shoulder in inappropriate manner and the same was also intimated to the school authority. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is working as Tamil Teacher in that school. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. The petitioner is working as a Teacher in the alleged school and he also travelled in the school van while dropping the children. At that time, he misbehaved with the victim girl. The petitioner has no previous case. Investigation is still pending. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12131 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, the statement of the victim girl under Section 183(5) of BNS has also been recorded, even according to the prosecution, the alleged occurrence took place in the School bus, in which other students also travelled, even according to the prosecution the alleged occurrence happened on 06.06.2026 and the complaint has been lodged on 18.06.2026 belatedly, and the FIR has been registered the petitioner has no previous case, and considering all other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Sessions Judge, Principal Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12131 of 2026

learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

WEB COPY [b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police at 06.00 p.m., on every Saturday, for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation:

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
24.06.2026

TM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12131 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The Sessions Judge, Principal Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station (South),
Thilagar Thidal Range, South Veli Street, Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12131 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 12131 of 2026

Date : 24.06.2026