



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12072 of 2026*

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 24.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

**CRL OP(MD). No. 12072 of 2026**

Alamelumangai

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
CCB Police Station,  
Madurai District.  
(Crime No.7 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.DIvakaran  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian  
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. side)

For Intervenor : Mr.Vignesh


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

**PRAYER :-**

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 7 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 316(4) of BNS in Crime No.7 of 2026,



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12072 of 2026*

on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with her husband misappropriated the money of the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.1,50,00,000/- through fraudulent business transactions. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to previous business motive, this false case has been foisted. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. The accused persons and the defacto complainant are doing vegetable business. The accused persons swindled the money to the tune of Rs.1,50,00,000/- by affixing their QR code instead of defacto complainant's QR code for payment. The petitioner has no previous case. Investigation is still pending. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12072 of 2026*

5. The learned counsel appearing for the intervenor submitted that the offences are grave in nature, the petitioner and other accused cheated to the tune of Rs.1,50,00,000/- by using the QR code. Hence, he strongly opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, already the main accused A1 was arrested and released on bail, there is business dispute between the parties in respect of vegetable business, even according to the prosecution this petitioner was implicated on the basis of the confession statement of the co-accused, the allegation is that some of the money was transferred to the petitioner's account, apart from that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner, and considering all other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai, on condition that the



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12072 of 2026*

petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday, for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation:**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12072 of 2026*

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be

WEB COPY registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)  
24.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
CCB Police Station,  
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12072 of 2026*

**P. DHANABAL, J.**

TM

ORDER  
IN  
**CRL OP(MD) No. 12072 of 2026**

Date : 24.06.2026