



CRL OP(MD). No. 12069 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 12069 of 2026

Pon Irulappan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruthangal Police Station
Virudhunagar.
(Crime No. 190 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Jagadeeshwaran
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 190 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 12069 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A) of NDPS Act, and Section 77 of Juvenile Justice Act, 2015 in Crime No. 190 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 24.05.2026 at about 9.30 a.m., based on the secret information, when the defacto complainant, along with his team, was on patrol, they found that the petitioner was in illegal possession of 80 grams of ganja. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that co-accused was granted bail. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit




CRL OP(MD). No. 12069 of 2026

that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii) (A) of NDPS Act, and Section 77 of Juvenile Justice Act, 2015 in Crime No. 190 of 2026. He would further submit that the petitioner has nine previous cases, out of which one case is similar in nature. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering that the quantity of contraband is not a commercial quantity and co-accused was granted bail and though the petitioner has nine previous cases in all cases the petitioner was granted bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released



CRL OP(MD). No. 12069 of 2026

WEB COPY

on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Sivakasi, Virudhunagar, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:


[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with



CRL OP(MD). No. 12069 of 2026

WEB COPY

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
24.06.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-II, Sivakasi, Virudhunagar.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruthangal Police Station
Virudhunagar.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 12069 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 12069 of 2026

Date : 24.06.2026