



CRL OP(MD). No.12310 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.12310 of 2026

Mohamed Meeran Faris

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
AWPS-Dindigul Police Station,
Dindigul District.
Crime No.5 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Muthu Kavitha

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No.5 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD), No.12310 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.06.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 69 and 318 of BNS in Crime No. 5 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant were loved each other and the petitioner has received 22 sovereigns of gold from the defacto complainant and by giving false promise to marry her, he had sexual intercourse with her several times in JPD Hotel at Sirumalai Division. Later, he refused to marry the defacto complainant. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. There was a love affair between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. The petitioner has been remanded to judicial custody on 08.06.2026. Therefore, prays for bail.



CRL OP(MD), No.12310 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint of the defacto complainant, the present case has been registered against the accused. The investigation is in nascent stage and if the petitioner is released on bail, he may abscond, tamper the material witness. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence, and the fact that according to the petitioner it was alleged that the occurrence took place prior to two years, but the complaint was given only on 02.06.2026 and also considering the fact that the petitioner has no previous case to his credit as well as taking into account of the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD), No.12310 of 2026

WEB COPY

*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court (JM Court Level), Dindigul** and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the **respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;***

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;



CRL OP(MD). No.12310 of 2026

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
25.06.2026

PNM

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Additional Mahila Court (JM Court Level), Dindigul
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Dindigul
3. The Inspector of Police, AWPS-Dindigul Police Station,
Dindigul District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.12310 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12310 of 2026

Date : 25/06/2026