



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12106 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 12106 of 2026

1.A.Praveen

2.Maruthupandiyan

... Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Nerkuppai Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.50 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Arputharaj
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for the State of TN (Crl. side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 50 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 131, 132, 125,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12106 of 2026

118(1), 351(3) of BNS and Section 3(1) of TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.50 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 23.04.2026 the petitioners unlawfully assembled and caused disturbances to the public, abused the defacto complainant and assaulted them, thereby he sustained injuries and caused damages the government vehicle and prevent them from doing their duty. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to previous enmity this case has been lodged. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. During the temple festival, the petitioners unlawfully assembled and caused disturbances to the public, abused the defacto complainant and assaulted them, thereby he sustained injuries and caused damages the government vehicle and prevent them from doing their duty. The



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12106 of 2026

injured was discharged from the hospital. The petitioners have no previous case. Investigation is still pending. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the records.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, there was dispute between the parties during the temple festival, co-accused has been released on bail, the injured was discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case against the petitioners, and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Singampuneri, Sivagangai District**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12106 of 2026

satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.


[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
24.06.2026



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12106 of 2026

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Singampuneri, Sivagangai District.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police,
Nerkuppai Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.50 of 2026)
 - 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12106 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 12106 of 2026

Date : 24.06.2026