



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12124 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 12124 of 2026

- 1.Raj Mohan
- 2.Sathish @ Sathishkumar
- 3.Jayaseelan
- 4.Ragul @ Vijayaragul
- 5.Anandaraj
- 6.Ellappa @ Ellapparaj
- 7.Hari @ Hariharan
- 8.Valaiyapathi
- 9.Uma @ Uma Maheswari
- 10.Santhi @ Santhalakshmi
- 11.Mani @ Manonmani
- 12.Mahalakshmi
- 13.Raji @ Rajalakshmi

... Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Elayirampennai Police Station,
Vembakottai Taluk, Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.113 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Ponsaravanan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for the State of TN (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12124 of 2026

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 113 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 292, 127(2), 132, 351(2) of BNS, in Crime No.113 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 04.06.2026 at 09.30 p.m., the petitioners along with other persons conducted musical concert without obtaining prior permission on the pongal festival. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant parties, they abused them. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12124 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. Nobody was sustained injuries. The petitioners 2 to 13 have no previous case. The first petitioner has one previous case. Investigation is still pending. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the records.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, nobody was sustained injuries, there is no previous case against the petitioners 2 to 13, though the first petitioner has one previous case, he was granted bail in that case,, and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sattur, Virudhunagar District**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12124 of 2026

sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned

Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.


[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
24.06.2026



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12124 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sattur, Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Elayirampennai Police Station,
Vembakottai Taluk, Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.113 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12124 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 12124 of 2026

Date : 24.06.2026