



CRL OP(MD) . No.11923 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No.11923 of 2026

Rishi ... Petitioner/A5

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep BY,
The Inspector of Police,
Bazaar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.110 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.SR.Hariharan
Advocate.


For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN
(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-8 AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.
110 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.

1/8



CRL OP(MD). No.11923 of 2026


WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A5, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 318(4) of BNS in Crime No.110 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons themselves introduced as Income Tax Officials entered into shop and had taken Rs. 5,00,000/- kept in the room and Rs.1,10,000/- in the cash box and eight android phones. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against him. The petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged



CRL OP(MD). No.11923 of 2026

WEB COPY

crime. He would further submit that based on the confession statement given by the co-accused this petitioner has been implicated as an accused and the co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit that accused persons themselves introduced as Income Tax Officials entered into shop and had taken Rs.5,00,000/- kept in the room and Rs.1,10,000/- in the cash box and eight android phones. He would further submit that one previous case is pending against the petitioner, hence he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No.11923 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, considering the nature of offences and also considering the fact that this petitioner has been implicated as an accused based on the confession statement given by the co-accused and the co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court and the fact that though the petitioner has one previous case, the same is not similar kind of offence and in that case, he was released on bail and also considering all other factors, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.11923 of 2026

Judicial Magistrate Court No.I,
Ramanathapuram, on condition that the
petitioner shall execute a bond for a
sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten
Thousand only) with two sureties each
for a like sum to the satisfaction of
the learned Magistrate concerned and
on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report
before the respondent police daily at
10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not
commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond
either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not
tamper with evidence or witness either
during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the
aforesaid conditions, the learned



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.11923 of 2026

Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
23.06.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No.11923 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.I,
Ramanathapuram .
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Bazaar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.11923 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.11923 of 2026

Date : 23/06/2026