



CRL OP(MD). No. 11982 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11982 of 2026

Gopi

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Town Police Station
Dindigul.
(Crime No. 317 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : M/s.B.Poornima
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 317 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 11982 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(B), 22(a), 25, 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985, in Crime No. 317 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant, along with his team, was on patrol, they found that A1 and A2 were in illegal possession of 1.500 kgs of ganja and 80 mg of Methamphetamine. Based on their confession, the petitioner has been arrayed as A3. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) would submit



CRL OP(MD). No. 11982 of 2026

that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii) (B), 22(a), 25, 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985, in Crime No. 317 of 2026. He would further submit that no contraband was recovered from this petitioner and only based on the confession, the petitioner has been arrayed as accused. He would further submit that the petitioner has two previous cases, out of which one case is similar in nature. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that no contraband was recovered from this petitioner and only based on the confession, the petitioner has been arrayed as accused and though the petitioner has two previous cases in all cases the petitioner was granted bail and the main accused were secured by the police, I am



CRL OP(MD). No. 11982 of 2026

inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Additional District Judge/Presiding Officer, Principal Special Court for EC and NDPS Act cases, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No. 11982 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or

witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
24.06.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Additional District Judge/Presiding Officer,
Principal Special Court for EC and NDPS Act cases,
Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Town Police Station
Dindigul.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 11982 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11982 of 2026

Date : 24.06.2026