

CRL OP(MD). No. 11938 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11938 of 2026

Indhumathi

...Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
K.Pudupatti Police Station
Pudukottai.
(Crime No. 103 of 2026)

...Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Muthukumaran
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 103 of 2026 on the file of the respondent

1/8



CRL OP(MD). No. 11938 of 2026

police.

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.06.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 329(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No. 103 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 12.06.2026, due to previous enmity regarding property dispute, A1 allegedly trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and attacked him and his sister with aruvalmanai, causing injuries. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as



CRL OP(MD). No. 11938 of 2026

alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that entire allegation is as against A1 and there is no specific overt-act against this petitioner. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.06.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the case has been registered under Sections 296(b), 329(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act. He would further submit that A1 was secured by the respondent and the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials




CRL OP(MD). No. 11938 of 2026

available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the facts that even according to the prosecution, entire allegation is against A1 and as far as this petitioner is concerned, she only scolded the defacto complainant and apart from that there is no specific overt-act attributed against this petitioner and A1 was already secured by the respondent police and the petitioner has no previous case and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam, and on further



CRL OP(MD). No. 11938 of 2026

WEB COPY

conditions that:


[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance



CRL OP(MD). No. 11938 of 2026

WEB COPY

with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
25.06.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
K.Pudupatti Police Station
Pudukottai.
3. The Superintendent, Women Jail, Trichy.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

6/8



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 11938 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11938 of 2026



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 11938 of 2026

Date : 25.06.2026