



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11928 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11928 of 2026

1.Indra @ Indira
2.Mayakkal

... Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Valandur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.41 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Vignesh
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 41 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 109, 351(3) of BNS @




Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11928 of 2026

into 296(b), 115(2), 109, 103(1), 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.41 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 21.05.2026 on 3.30 p.m., the accused persons unlawfully assembled at the place of occurrence and picked up a quarrel with the defacto complainant, abused them in filthy language and criminally intimidated him, assaulted him with dangerous weapons, hence, the defacto complainant sustained injuries and was immediately taken for medical treatment. Hence, the case has been registered. Later he died on 15.06.2026. Therefore, the offences were altered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to previous civil dispute, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. There was wordy quarrel between the parties, in



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11928 of 2026

which, the petitioners brutally assaulted the defacto complainant. Due to the grievous nature of injuries, he died in the hospital. Hence, the offences have been altered on 15.06.2026 only. The petitioners have no previous case. Investigation is still pending. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the records.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, there is a dispute between the parties, due to which wordy altercation arose between them, at that time, this occurrence was happened, even according to the prosecution, these petitioners scolded the defacto complainant during the occurrence, there is no specific overt act against the petitioners as about the assault, the main accused was arrested and still in custody, there is no previous case against the petitioner, and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11928 of 2026

WEB COPY

before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Usilampatti**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police at daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders:

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11928 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be

WEB COPY registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
23.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Usilampatti.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Valandur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.41 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11928 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11928 of 2026

Date : 23.06.2026