



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1955 and 1956 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

Cont.P(MD)Nos.1955 and 1956 of 2025

M.V.Sundaram ... Petitioner
in ContP(MD)No.1955 of 2025

K.Loganathan ... Petitioner
in ContP(MD)No.1956 of 2025
vs.

1.T.Udhayachandran,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Secretary to Government,
Finance Department,
Fort St George, Chennai – 600 009.

2.Kumaragurubaran,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.

3.Jeyakumar,
The Joint Director (Vocational),
Directorate of School Education,
DPI Compound, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.

... Contemnors / Respondents in all WPs



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1955 and 1956 of 2025

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the respondents for the willful disobedience and non-compliance of the order passed in WP(MD)Nos.13695 and 16300 of 2024, dated 22.07.2024 and 26.06.2024 for committing the act of contempt of court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Saravanan

For Respondent : Mr.R.Baskaran,
Additional Advocate General,
Assisted by Mr.S.Vinodh,
Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioners are working as Teachers. They have approached this court challenging the orders of the Joint Director (Vocational) dated 31.12.2020 with consequential relief to refix the scale of pay of the petitioners as provided to one Narayanasami vide GO.Ms.No.327, dated 18.11.2013.

2.This court by its order dated 22.07.2024 and 26.06.2024 directed the 3rd respondent to consider the claim of the petitioners and pass suitable orders on par with the scale of pay provided to one Narayanasami vide GO.Ms.No.327, dated 18.11.2013 within a stipulated time.



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1955 and 1956 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.Now these contempt petitions have been filed that the order of this court has not been complied with.

4.When these petitions are taken up for hearing, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondents submits that the government order in GOMs.No.327 dated 18.11.2013 is not applicable to the petitioners and therefore, they have rejected the claim of the petitioners. A copy of the proceedings dated 11.07.2025 is also placed before this court.

5.The learned counsel for the petitioners by referring to the orders of the Division Bench in WA(MD)No.1344 of 2013 dated 14.08.2014 submits that the order passed by this court in WP(MD)No.5766 of 2020 has already been confirmed by the Division Bench of this court and even then the respondents have rejected the request of the petitioners without even referring to the orders of the Division Bench.

6.This court has considered the rival submissions made and perused the materials placed on record.



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1955 and 1956 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. It is reported that the respondents have now passed an order vide proceedings dated 11.07.2025 that the petitioners are not entitled for the relief as per GO.Ms.No.327 dated 18.11.2013. In view of the same, these contempt petitions are closed with liberty to the petitioners to challenge the rejection order in the manner known to law.

06.02.2026

DSK

To

- 1.T.Udhayachandran,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Secretary to Government,
Finance Department,
Fort St George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.Kumaragurubaran,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 3.Jeyakumar,
The Joint Director (Vocational),
Directorate of School Education,
DPI Compound, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.

4/5



WEB COPY



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1955 and 1956 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI,J.

DSK

Cont.P(MD)Nos.1955 and 1956 of 2025

06.02.2026