



CRL OP(MD). No.11861 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.11861 of 2025

Jeevanantham,

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Dhadikombu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
Crime No. 324/2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kasirajan
For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- To release the petitioner on bail in C.C No. 133/2024 on the file of the Principal Special court for trial of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Act cases, Madurai in Crime No. 324/2023 on the file of the respondent police.

1/5



CRL OP(MD). No.11861 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.10.2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(c), 25 of NDPS Act @ 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(c), 25 and 29(1) of NDPS Act, in Crime No.324 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that based on the secret information, on 26.10.2023, at about 20.15 hrs, when the respondent police were in patrol duty, they found that the petitioner and other accused were in illegal joint possession of 21.500 kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.10.2023. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.11861 of 2025

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner and other accused were in illegal joint possession of 21.500 kgs of ganja. He would further submit that the petitioner has 12 previous cases, out of which 9 cases were disposed of, out of which three cases are in commercial quantity. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence and considering the facts that quantity involved is a commercial quantity and the petitioner has some previous cases, which are similar in nature and taking into considering the gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.



CRL OP(MD). No.11861 of 2025

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

WEB COPY

(P D B J)
06.04.2026

tta

TO

1. The Inspector of Police,
Dhadikombu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.11861 of 2025

P. DHANABAL,J

tta

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11861 of 2025

Date : 06/04/2026