



CRL OP(MD). No.11977 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.11977 of 2026

Karthick

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
A.Mukkulam Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.100 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Micheal Sebastin

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No.100 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.11977 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 132, 351(2) of BNS and Section 25(1) of Arms Act, in Crime No.100 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 21.05.2026 at about 11.00 hours, while the respondent were in patrol duty near S.Nangoor Bus Stop, they found that the accused was holding an aruval in his hand and shouting that he is a prominent rowdy and create fear among the public. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. No one injured in the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.05.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.11977 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing

for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, who is a police official the case has been registered against the accused. He would further submit that the petitioner is a history sheeted rowdy and having 5 previous cases to his credit and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence, though the petitioner has previous cases to his credit, the petitioner has got bail in the previous cases registered against him and considering the fact the no one injured in the occurrence and no complaint received from the public about the alleged occurrence as well as taking into account of the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD). No.11977 of 2026

WEB COPY


*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruchuzhi** and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the **Judicial Magistrate, Thiruchuzhi** on every Monday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks, thereafter, as and when required for interrogation before the respondent;*

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with



CRL OP(MD), No.11977 of 2026

the evidence;

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
23.06.2026

PNM

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruchuzhi
2. The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Virudhunagar
3. The Inspector of Police, A.Mukkulam Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.11977 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11977 of 2026

Date : 23/06/2026