



CRL OP(MD). No.11818 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.11818 of 2026

Abubakkar @ Abubackar Siddiq

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep.,
By, the Inspector of Police,
Srivilliputhur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District
(Crime No. 263 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Sujeeth G

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 263 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD), No.11818 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 305, 49 of BNS r/w 3 of TNPPDL Act, 1992, in Crime No.263 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant was working as Collection In-charge at P.K.R Finance, Srivilliputhur. Due to previous enmity between the defacto complainant's employer and one Abubakkar regarding the repayment of borrowed money, on 24.05.2026 the petitioner along with other accused trespassed into the finance office and caused damage to the furniture, glass doors, Air-conditioner and CCTV Cameras to the tune of Rs.2 lakhs and also committed the theft of Rs.3 lakhs & 2 gold rings, which were kept in the office locker. On hearing the occurrence, the defacto complainant went to the office. At that time, they came out of the premises and threatened the defacto complainant with dire consequences. Hence, the case.



CRL OP(MD). No.11818 of 2026

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit counter case also registered by the accused persons and the petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.05.2026 and also, in this case co-accused have already been enlarged on bail by this Court. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the case has been registered against the accused persons. He would further submit that though the petitioner has no previous cases at his credit, considering the nature of offence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.




CRL OP(MD), No.11818 of 2026

6.Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence, the petitioner has no previous cases to his credit and considering the fact counter case also registered by the accused persons and the co-accused have already been enlarged on bail as well as taking into account of the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputhur**, and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputhur** daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty days, thereafter, as and when required for interrogation before the respondent;*

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of



CRL OP(MD). No.11818 of 2026

WEB COPY

the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;


[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
22.06.2026

PNM

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No.11818 of 2026

TO

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputhur
2. The Superintendent, District Jail, Viruthunagar
3. The Inspector of Police,
Srivilliputhur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.11818 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11818 of 2026

Date : 22/06/2026