



W.P(MD)No.17402 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 25.06.2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR
and
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN

W.P(MD)No.17402 of 2026

and

WMP(MD)Nos.12878 and 12879 of 2026

C.Udayakumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Additional Registrar General,
Madras High Court, Madurai Bench,
Madurai.

2. The Judge (FAC),
Family Court,
Ramanathapuram District.

3. The Accountant General,
No.261, Anna Salai,
Chennai.

4. The Financial Advisor,
The Internal Audit Wing,
Madras High Court,
Madurai Bench, Madurai.

5. The Treasury Officer,
Ramanathapuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relates to the impugned Proceedings dated 17.12.2025 issued by the



W.P(MD)No.17402 of:

2nd respondent herein and quash the same consequently direct the 2nd respondent to repay the recovered excess payment from the petitioner to the tune of Rs.1,15,502/-.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Gnana Guru Nathan
For R1, R2 & R4 : Mr.K.Samidurai, Standing Counsel
For R3 : Mr.P.Gunasekaran, Standing Counsel
For R5 : Mr.M.S.Parthiban, Counsel for State


ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.)

Challenging the order of recovery, this writ petition is filed.

2. The only concern of the learned standing counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent is that the writ petitioner himself has given an undertaking to recover the amount wrongly paid to him. Since the writ petitioner himself admitted wrong payment and not objected to recovery, the impugned order does not require interference by this Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the alleged undertaking was obtained from the petitioner under coercion and the petitioner gave such undertaking fearing disciplinary proceedings and therefore, the said undertaking cannot be acted upon. Learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that the grievance of the petitioner is only with regard to recovery and he is not challenging the order of re-fixation.



W.P(MD)No.17402 of

WEB COPY


4. The said submission is placed on record. The increment wrongly given while the petitioner was working as Head Clerk in the 2nd respondent Court which is a Group-C post. It is well settled that as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **State of Punjab and Others vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer)** reported in **(2015) 4 SCC 334**, recovery from employees belonging to Class III and Class IV service (or Group 'C' and Group 'D' service) is impermissible in law. The said ratio is squarely applicable to the petitioner's case. The respondents have already refixed the pay of the petitioner, and the petitioner has no grievance with regard to such re-fixation. The petitioner only seeks cancellation of the recovery amount.

5. In view of the above, the impugned order is quashed in respect of recovery alone. If any amount has been recovered pursuant to the impugned proceedings, the same shall be refunded to the petitioner.

6. With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

[N.S.K., J.] & [M.J.R., J.]
25.06.2026

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No



W.P(MD)No.17402 of:

bala

To

WEB COPY

1. The Additional Registrar General,
Madras High Court, Madurai Bench,
Madurai.
2. The Judge (FAC),
Family Court,
Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Accountant General,
No.261, Anna Salai,
Chennai.
4. The Financial Advisor,
The Internal Audit Wing,
Madras High Court,
Madurai Bench, Madurai.
5. The Treasury Officer,
Ramanathapuram District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.17402 of:

N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.
AND
M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.

bala

ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.17402 of 2026
DATED : 25.06.2026