



CRL OP(MD). No.11693 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.11693 of 2026

Murugan

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Moolakaraipatty Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
Crime No. 259 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Ponkarthikeyan,

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 259 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD), No.11693 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.06.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPPDL Act in Crime No. 259 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the daughter of the petitioner allegedly eloped with one Muthukumar on 20.05.2026. On 03.06.2026, the petitioner went to the house of said Muthukumar and setting fire to the property, thereby, caused damages to the tune of Rs.11 lakhs. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and due to family dispute he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.06.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD), No.11693 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the case has been registered against the accused persons. The petitioner has no previous cases. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence and the fact that as per prosecution it is alleged that there was family dispute between the parties, that there is no mention about the burnt materials in the observation mahazar and also considering the fact that the petitioner has no previous cases to his credit, as well as the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD). No.11693 of 2026

WEB COPY

*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri**, and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the **respondent police** daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.*

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;



CRL OP(MD). No.11693 of 2026

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
19.06.2026

PNM

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Palayamkottai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Moolakaraipatty Police Station, Tirunelveli District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.11693 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11693 of 2026

Date : 19/06/2026