



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1853, 1854 and 1856 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

Cont.P(MD)Nos.1853, 1854 and 1856 of 2025

K.J.Anbarasu	... Petitioner in ContP(MD)No.1853 of 2025
P.Elango	... Petitioner in ContP(MD)No.1854 of 2025
K.Pannir	... Petitioner in ContP(MD)No.1856 of 2025

vs.

1.T.Udhayachandran,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Secretary to Government,
Finance Department,
Fort St George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.Kumaragurubaran,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1853, 1854 and 1856 of 2025

WEB COPY

2.This court by its order dated 01.08.2024 directed the 3rd respondent to consider the claim of the petitioners and pass suitable orders on par with the scale of pay provided to one Narayanasami vide GO.Ms.No.327, dated 18.11.2013 within a stipulated time.

3.Now these contempt petitions have been filed that the order of this court has not been complied with.

4.When these petitions are taken up for hearing, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondents submits that the government order in GOMs.No.327 dated 18.11.2013 is not applicable to the petitioners and therefore, they have rejected the claim of the petitioners. A copy of the proceedings dated 11.07.2025 is also placed before this court.

5.The learned counsel for the petitioners by referring to the orders of the Division Bench in WA(MD)No.1344 of 2013 dated 14.08.2014 submits that the order passed by this court in WP(MD)No.5766 of 2020 has already been confirmed by the Division Bench of this court and even then the respondents have



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1853, 1854 and 1856 of 2025

rejected the request of the petitioners without even referring to the orders of the Division Bench.

6.This court has considered the rival submissions made and perused the materials placed on record.

7.The writ court has disposed of the writ petitions as under:

“6. Since the petitioners are also similarly placed as that of the petitioner in W.P.(MD)No.5766 of 2008 and in view of the judgment in W.A.(MD)No.1344 of 2013 dated 14.08.2014, this writ petition is allowed and the impugned bearing Na.Ka.No. 36584/V1/E1/2020 issued by the third respondent in WP(MD)No. 18217 of 2024, dated 26.12.2020 is set aside. The respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioners and pass suitable orders on par with the scale of pay granted to G.Narayanasami as per G.O.Ms.No.327, dated 18.11.2013 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No cost.”



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1853, 1854 and 1856 of 2025

WEB COPY

8.The respondents have now passed an order vide proceedings dated 11.07.2025 that the petitioners are not entitled for the relief as per GO.Ms.No.327 dated 18.11.2013. Considering the scope of the orders passed in the writ petitions, these contempt petitions are closed with liberty to the petitioners to challenge the rejection order in the manner known to law.

06.02.2026

DSK

To

- 1.T.Udhayachandran,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Secretary to Government,
Finance Department,
Fort St George,
Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.Kumaragurubaran,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 3.Jeyakumar,
The Joint Director (Vocational),
Directorate of School Education,
DPI Compound, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.



WEB COPY



Cont.P(MD)Nos.1853, 1854 and 1856 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI,J.

DSK

Cont.P(MD)Nos.1853, 1854 and
1856 of 2025

06.02.2026