



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11771 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11771 of 2026

K.Veerapathiran @ Veeraiah

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Koomapatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.70 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Prasadpandian
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 70 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 308(4), 109, 324(4) of BNS and 3(a) and 3(b) of Explosive Substances Act, in Crime No.70 of 2026, on the file



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11771 of 2026

of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.05.2026 at about 10.50 a.m., the petitioner along with other accused persons demanded liquor bottle before opening the Tasmac shop. When the defacto complainant refused they threatened him, abused him in filthy language. At 01.00 p.m., on the same day, they came again and thrown country bomb on the defacto complainant in order to kill him and also damaged the tube light. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. On the basis of the confession statement of the co-accused this petitioner was implicated. Nobody was injured in this case. The petitioner has no previous case. Investigation is still pending. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11771 of 2026

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, nobody was injured in this case, already co-accused were released on anticipatory bail, the petitioner has no previous case and considering all other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Watrap, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty days, thereafter as and when required for interrogation:

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11771 of 2026

nature.

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
23.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Watrap.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Koomapatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

4/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11771 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11771 of 2026

Date : 23.06.2026