



CRL OP(MD). No.12322 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.12322 of 2026

M.Kumaresan

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

**The State of Tamilnadu Rep.By,
The Inspector of Police, Thriuppalai
Police Station, Madurai District.
(Cr.No.176 of 2026).**

... Respondent/Complainant


For Petitioner : Mr.N.Jeyaram Sidharth,

**For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)**

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.176 OF 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD), No.12322 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.04.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 309(6) and 351(3) of BNS in Crime No. 176 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 31.03.2026 at about 03.00p.m., when the defacto complainant was working in a shop namely KGN Furniture, the accused persons armed with a knife, criminally trespassed into the premises and attempted to snatch the gold chain from her neck by showing knife, which inflicted scratch injuries on her palms. On arrival of neighbours, the accused threatened her with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the injured was discharged




CRL OP(MD). No.12322 of 2026

from the hospital. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.04.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the case has been registered against the accused. The petitioner has 3 previous cases. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence and it was alleged that there was an attempt to commit the offence of robbery and the injured was discharged from the hospital and further considering the fact that though the petitioner has previous cases to his credit, all the cases are not



CRL OP(MD), No.12322 of 2026

similar in nature, the petitioner has got bail in the previous cases registered against him, as well as taking into account of the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:


*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurai**, and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the **respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;***

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted



CRL OP(MD), No.12322 of 2026

WEB COPY

with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
25.06.2026

PNM

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurai.
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai
3. The Inspector of Police, Thriuppalai Police Station, Madurai District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.12322 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12322 of 2026

Date : 25/06/2026