



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11631 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11631 of 2026

1.C.Karthik Chakkaravarthi
2.B.Santhoshipriya

... Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Alanganallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.246 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Sevugaraja
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 246 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(2), 115(1), 351(3) of BNS,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11631 of 2026

in Crime No.246 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 17.05.2026 at about 11.30 p.m., when the defacto complainant along with his wife went to the petitioners' house, the petitioners picked up quarrel with them, abused in filthy language and jointly attacked the them and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The defacto complainant is their own elder brother. Due to family dispute, this present case has been foisted against them.. Hence, he prayed for anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. There is family dispute between them. In which both parties made complaint against each other. Therefore, counter case has also been registered. The injured in this case has been discharged from the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11631 of 2026

hospital. The petitioners have no previous case. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the records.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, there is previous family dispute between the family members, counter case has also been registered, the injured was discharged from the hospital, there is no previous case pending against the petitioners, and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11631 of 2026

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioners 1 and 2 shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
19.06.2026

TM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11631 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Alanganallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.246 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11631 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11631 of 2026

Date : 19.06.2026