



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11622 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 11622 of 2026

1.Varun @ Varunraj
2.Dharun @ Balamurugan
3.Chandru @ Mari Chandru ... Petitioners
Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Mandapam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.87 of 2026) ...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Mahendrarajan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 87 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 296(b), 351(3), 119(2) of BNS, in



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11622 of 2026

Crime No.87 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 14.06.2026 at about 02.00 p.m., the petitioners along with other accused were involved in verbal altercation with the defacto complainant. In that moment, they assaulted the defacto complainant and caused injuries to him. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to previous dispute, this false case has been foisted against the petitioners. Hence, he prayed for anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. The third petitioner, who is A3, in this case has been arrested and remanded in juridical custody. The injured was discharged from the hospital. The other petitioner have no previous case. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11622 of 2026


WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the records.

6. Since the the third petitioner, who is the A3 has been arrested and remanded in judicial custody, there is no question of granting anticipatory bail to A3. Accordingly, this petition stands dismissed against A3.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, considering the previous dispute between the parties, the injured was discharged from the hospital, there is no previous case pending against the petitioners 1 and 2, and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners 1 and 2, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners 1 and 2 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Rameshwaram**, on condition that the petitioners 1 and 2 shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11622 of 2026

concerned and on further condition that:

WEB COPY [b] the petitioners 1 and 2 shall report before the Inspector of Police, Uchipuli Police Station, at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners 1 and 2 shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners 1 and 2 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners 1 and 2 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners 1 and 2 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners 1 and 2 released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11622 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be

WEB COPY registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
19.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Rameshwaram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Mandapam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.87 of 2026)
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Uchipuli Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11622 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 11622 of 2026

Date : 19.06.2026