



W.P.Crl.(MD) No.3258 of 2026

WEB COPY

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

**DATED : 22.06.2026**

**CORAM**

**THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI**

**W.P.Crl.(MD).No.3258 of 2026**

Suppiah

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Director General of Police,  
Radha Krishnan Salai Road,  
Chennai.
2. The Superintendent of Police,  
Superintendent of Police Office,  
Tirunelveli District.
3. The Inspector of Police,  
Seethaparpanallur Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District.
4. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
(Rural), Tirunelveli.

... Respondents

*(R-4 is suo-motu impleaded vide Court order dated  
22.06.2026 made in W.P.Crl.(MD)No.3258 of 2026)*

**Prayer:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respondents to conduct the enquiry and to take appropriate action on the basis of the petitioner's complaint dated 12.06.2026 and to pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD) No.3258 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kasirajan

For Respondents : Mr.S.Arun Arockiasamy  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

**ORDER**

Seeking to direct respondents to conduct an enquiry and to take appropriate action based on the petitioner's complaint dated 12.06.2026, this writ petition is filed.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the Inspector of Police and the Sub Inspector of Police, Seethaparpanallur Police Station, are dealing with the matter communally and had filed an affidavit in this regard.

3. In view of the same, considering the petitioner's affidavit, this Court is of the considered view that the matter should be investigated with a proper police officer.

4. Hence, the Deputy Superintendent of Police (Rural) Tirunelveli is *suo motu* impleaded as the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent. Registry is directed to carry out the necessary amendments in the cause title.



**W.P.Crl.(MD) No.3258 of 2026**

WEB COPY

5. The petitioner's complaint is directed to be enquired into by the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent by putting the petitioner as well as the proposed accused on notice under Section 64 BNSS. The second respondent is directed to monitor the enquiry to be undertaken by the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent. It is made clear that the petitioner should not be harassed by any of the officers in caste lines.

6. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

**22.06.2026**

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes/ No

Sml

To

1. The Director General of Police,  
Mylapore,  
Radha Krishnan Salai Road,  
Chennai.
2. The Superintendent of Police,  
Superintendent of Police Office,  
Tirunelveli District.
3. The Inspector of Police,  
Seethaparanallur Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,



**W.P.Crl.(MD) No.3258 of 2026**

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



**W.P.Crl.(MD) No.3258 of 2026**

**L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.**

Sml

**WP.Crl.(MD)No.3258 of 2026**

**22.06.2026**